

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

kagawa 100725-00118 8588 EXAMINER
EXAMINER
BINDA, GREGORY JOHN
ART UNIT PAPER NUM
3679

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/774,686	NAKAGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Greg Binda	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI date of this communication, even if timely file	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to commu	I)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 October 2005</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 8) ☐ Claim(s) are sul	(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn fro allowed. re rejected. objected to.			
Application Papers				
Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing sho	11 October 2005 is/are: that any objection to the ceet(s) including the correcti	r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) [1. ☑ Certified copies of the ce application from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-		4) Interview Summar		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr 3) Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/05. 		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claim 3 has been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election of Species I shown in Figs. 1, 5 & 6 was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 9, 2005.

Drawings

3. The replacement drawings filed October 11, 2005 are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1, 2 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 recites the angle alpha is greater than zero. However, the specification teaches away form such structure. See

Art Unit: 3679

page 10, lines 13-16 where shear stress is disclosed as at it minimum when the angle alpha is equal to zero.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 further defines the angle alpha recited in claim 1 as "not more than ten degrees" which suggests that in claim 1, the angle alpha could be greater than ten degrees. However in claim 1, the angle alpha is recited as being "not more than ten degrees."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. Claims 1, 2 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sone et al, US 6,120,383 (Sone). Fig. 1 shows a fixed type constant velocity joint comprising: an outer joint part 1 having eight axially extending guide grooves 1b formed in the spherical inner peripheral surface 1a thereof, an inner joint member 2 having eight axially extending guide grooves 2b formed in the spherical outer peripheral surface 2a thereof, eight torque transmitting balls 3 disposed one by one in ball tracks defined by cooperation between the guide grooves of the outer and inner joint members, and a cage 4 holding the torque transmitting balls. Fig. 1A (see the annotated copy of Fig. 1A attached to the prior Office action) shows the angle defined by the straight line b-b connecting a contact point A between the cage 4 and the outer joint member 1 and a contact point B between the cage 4 and the inner joint member 2, and the cage center line

Art Unit: 3679

0-0 is zero degrees, which is not more than 10 degrees. However, when the outer and inner joint parts 1 & 2 are displaced slightly angularly, the angle will be greater than zero yet still less than 10 degrees and the outer and inner joint parts can continue to be displaced angularly to a point where the angle equals eight degrees.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed October 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Sone fails to show the claimed invention because Sone does not show displaying an angle like angle alpha of the claimed invention having a value greater than zero and less than 10 degrees. However, the drawings in Sone do not show the angle greater than zero because they show the outer and inner joint parts in the aligned condition where the angle is zero (just like the instant invention at Fig. 5). However, when the outer an inner joint parts of Sone are displaced angularly, the angle will be greater than zero (just like the instant invention at Fig. 1)

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/774,686 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11. examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Greg Binda Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679